WHEREAS, this case is one of over sixty (60) putative class actions filed in this court and several other courts throughout the country for damages and injunctive relief, arising from the manufacture, distribution and/or sale of pet food products by Defendant Menu Foods Income Fund, Menu Foods, Inc., and Menu Foods Midwest Corporation (Collectively referred to as "MENU FOODS");

WHEREAS, on March 30, 2007, Plaintiff Sexton ("Plaintiff") filed a Motion to Transfer and Coordinate or Consolidate Action Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Central District of California with the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ("MDL Panel"). Other parties have moved for transfer of all related actions to the Southern District of Florida, the Western District of Washington, the District of New Jersey, and the Northern District of Illinois. There are now approximately twenty-eight (28) actions pending in the District of New Jersey. While Plaintiff Sexton initially proposed centralization and transfer to the Central District of California, Plaintiff Sexton now agrees that transfer for coordination and/or consolidation of the actions to the District of New Jersey is appropriate;

WHEREAS, the MDL Panel will determine whether all actions, including this action, should be transferred and coordinated and/or consolidated under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 for pretrial proceedings. The MDL Panel has set this matter for hearing on May 31, 2007 in Las Vegas, Nevada; and

WHEREAS, the parties believe that in the short intervening time between now and a decision by the MDL Panel on transfer and coordination and/or consolidation, a stay of these proceedings will conserve party and judicial resources.

///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the Plaintiff and Defendants, Menu Foods Income Fund, Menu Foods, Inc., and Menu Foods Midwest Corporation, through their designated counsel that this matter, including the deadlines for the parties to participate in class certification and other pretrial proceedings, be stayed pending the establishment of In re: Pet Food Products Liability Litigation, MDL Docket No. 1850, and the potential subsequent transfer of this case for coordinated pretrial proceedings with other actions pending throughout the country.

All parties shall, during the pendency of the stay of this matter, comply with their duty to preserve all evidence that may be relevant to this action. This duty extends to documents, electronic data, and tangible things in the possession, custody and control of the parties to this action, and any employees, agents, contractors, or carriers who possess materials reasonably anticipated to be the subject of discovery in this action. "Preservation" is to be interpreted broadly to accomplish the goal of maintaining the integrity of all documents, data and tangible things reasonably anticipated to be the subject of discovery under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26, 45 and 56(e) in this action. Preservation includes taking reasonable steps to prevent the partial or full destruction, alteration, testing, deletion, shredding, incineration, wiping, relocation, migration, theft, or mutation of such material, as well as negligent or intentional handling that would make material incomplete or inaccessible. If the business practices of any party involve the routine destruction, recycling, relocation, or mutation of materials, the party must, to the extent practicable for the pendency of this order, either:

- halt such business practices; **i**)
- sequester or remove such material from the business process; or ii)

26

///

///

27

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

Cas	e 1:07-cv-04047-NLH-AMD Document 3-10 Filed 05/31/2007 Page 4 of 4
	a lineton
1	iii) arrange for the preservation of complete and accurate duplicates
2	or copies of such material, suitable for later discovery if
3	requested.
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.
5	Dated: April 23, 2007 Respectfully submitted,
6	KERSHAW, CUTTER & RATINOFF, LLP
7	$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O})$
8	By STUART C. TALLEY
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff
10	Dated: April 23, 2007 Respectfully submitted,
11	Dated: April 23, 2007 Respectfully submitted, WEXLER TORISEVA WALLACE LLP
12	
13	By Mr. R. Canffer
14	MARK I TAMBLY N Attorneys for Plaintiff
15	
16	Dated: April 23, 2007 Respectfully submitted,
17	MURCHISON & CUMMING, LLP
18	Jana Och 2
19	By GINA E. OCH
20	Attorneys for Defendants
21	ODDED
22	<u>ORDER</u>
23	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
24	14/2/100
25	Dated: 4/2410/ GEORGE H. KING
26	THE HONORABLE GEORGE H. KING UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
27	
28	J:\FWS\27612\SEXTON\PLD\STIP AND ORDER TO STAY
	STIBLE ATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER STAYING ALL PROCEEDINGS